

Solitary median maxillary central incisor syndrome: cone beam computed tomography illustrated review

Authors: Maillet A DDS, MD^{1,*}, Issa J DDS, MBA,^{2,3} Manzo B DDS, MSc Orthodontics⁴ Olszewski R DDS, MD, PhD, DrSc, Prof^{5,6}

11 Affiliations:

5

6

7

8 9

12	¹ Département de Chirurgie maxillo-faciale et buccale, Hôpitaux universitaire de
13	Genève, Suisse
14	² Chair of Practical Clinical Dentistry, Department of Diagnostics, Poznań
15	University of Medical Sciences, Bukowska 70, 60-812 Poznan, Poland
16	³ Doctoral School, Poznań University of Medical Sciences, Bukowska 70, 60-812
17	Poznan, Poland
18	⁴ CHC Montlegia, Liège, Belgium, and private practice Libramont, Belgium
19	⁵ Department of oral and maxillofacial surgery, Cliniques Universitaires saint Luc,
20	UCLouvain, Brussels, Belgium
21	⁶ Oral and maxillofacial surgery research Lab (OMFS Lab), NMSK, IREC,
22	UCLouvain, Brussels, Belgium

23	
24	Corresponding author: Dr A. Maillet, Département de Chirurgie maxillo-faciale et
25	buccale, Hôpitaux universitaire de Genève, Suisse, ORCID ID 0009-0002-5018-
26	6635
27	Disclaimer: the views expressed in the submitted article are our own and not an of-
28	ficial position of the institution or funder.
29	

31 Abstract

The solitary median maxillary central incisor syndrome (SMMCI) is a rare auto-somal dominant genetic syndrome characterized by the presence of a single central incisor positioned along the midline in both primary and permanent dentition. It is often associated with the holoprosencephaly spectrum, a group of brain and facial malformations. The etiopathogenesis of SMMCI is believed to involve embryonic developmental defects occurring between the 35th and 38th day of gestation, primarily affecting midline facial structures. Several genetic factors, including mutations in the Sonic Hedgehog gene on chromosome 7 and other genetic variants, have been implicated in SMMCI development. Diagnosis is typically made through clinical examination, prenatal ultrasound, and postnatal dental evaluation. Management of SMMCI requires a multidisciplinary approach involving pediatricians, otolaryngo-logists, neuro-pediatricians, and genetic counselors. Dental interventions may inclu-de extraction and space maintenance, orthodontic treatment, prosthodontic options, or esthetic considerations. Further research is needed to understand better the under-lying mechanisms and genetic factors associated with SMCI, which will contribute to improved diagnosis and management of this syndrome.

Keywords: Solitary median maxillary central incisor syndrome, orphan disease, holoprosencephaly, CBCT, cyclopia.

65 Introduction

4

66 Solitary median maxillary central incisor syndrome (SMMCI) was first described 67 by Scott in 1958 [1]. This rare autosomal dominant genetic disorder is characterized 68 by the development of a single central incisor positioned symmetrically on the mid-69 line in both the primary and permanent dentitions [2]. Epidemiological studies have 70 estimated the prevalence of SMMCI to be approximately 1 in 50,000 births, with 71 some evidence suggesting a potential female predisposition [3].

Beyond its dental characteristics, SMMCI is sometimes associated with more 72 complex syndromic conditions, notably holoprosencephaly (HPE), where the brain 73 74 does not properly divide into distinct hemispheres [4]. This association places 75 SMMCI within the spectrum of HPE, emphasizing the need for comprehensive 76 neurological assessment in affected individuals. The presence of an SMMCI is thus 77 a significant indicator of potential midline developmental anomalies, including 78 craniofacial anomalies, cognitive impairments, and other neurological troubles [2]. 79 The genetic basis of SMMCI involves mutations that disrupt key developmental 80 pathways governing midline patterning and organ development [5]. These mutations 81 can lead to the variable expressivity observed in the syndrome, ranging from 82 isolated dental anomalies to severe neurodevelopmental disorders. Therefore, early

and accurate diagnosis is crucial not only for dental management but also for
assessing potential neurological involvement.

The aim of this illustrated review is to provide a comprehensive update on the current knowledge surrounding SMMCI, exploring its etiopathogenic, clinical presentation, cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) presentation, examining the diagnostic criteria necessary to differentiate SMMCI from similar conditions, and reviewing current management strategies.

Etiopathogenesis

91 The etiopathogenesis of SMMCI remains complex, and is subject to ongoing research. Several hypotheses have been advanced to elucidate the mechanisms 92 93 underlying the development of SMMCI. The predominant theory, by Hall et al. in 94 1997, postulates that SMMCI results from a developmental anomaly occurring 95 between the 35th and 38th days of gestation [3]. This critical period involves 96 substantial morphogenesis of midline facial structures, including the maxilla and 97 dental lamina, which are notably disrupted in SMMCI cases [3]. During this gestational window, the maxillary dental lamina begins to form, but an 98 99 unexplained interruption or retardation of growth in the maxillary, orbital, and other

midline structures is observed [3]. This disruption leads to the premature fusion of
the right and left dental laminae and their corresponding dental buds [3, 6]. As a

102	result, the normal bifurcation into two distinct central incisor germs does not occur,
103	thereby affecting the formation of the central incisors, as well as the surrounding
104	bone and soft tissues [3, 6].
105	Current investigations are focused on identifying the genetic and environmental
106	contributors to SMMCI. Noteworthy findings from research conducted by Li et al.
107	indicate that mutations in the Sonic Hedgehog (SHH) gene, located on chromosome
108	7, are implicated in approximately 41.7% of SMMCI cases [5]. This gene plays a
109	crucial role in the developmental processes of the face and brain [5]. Furthermore,
110	genetic variants in other genes such as SIX3, TGIF1, COL4A2, DISP1, ZIC2,
111	PTCH1, ASXL1, SMO, PLD2, and P2RY13 have been identified in individuals with
112	SMMCI, suggesting a multi-factorial genetic basis for the syndrome [5].
113	Additionally, other studies have reported various chromosomal abnormalities
114	associated with SMMCI, including deletions on chromosomes 18p, 22q11, and
115	2q21.2, as well as duplications on chromosome 20p12.1 and deletions on 15p [6-9].
116	These findings further complicate the genotype-phenotype correlations in SMMCI.
117	The relationship between these genetic variants and the clinical manifestation of
118	SMMCI is still under investigation, highlighting the need for more detailed genetic
119	studies to understand the pathogenic pathways involved in this syndrome.

120 Clinical presentation

SMMCI is characterized by the presence of a single, symmetrically positioned 121 upper central incisor on the midline, observable in both deciduous and permanent 122 123 dentitions, indicative of a mild variant within the HPE spectrum (Figure 1) [2, 4]. 124 HPE, a developmental disorder, stems from the incomplete separation of midline 125 structures during the 18th to 28th days of gestation [10]. It presents a spectrum of 126 clinical manifestations, from severe, non-viable forms like alobar HPE with no 127 cerebral hemisphere separation to milder lobular forms showing partial separation, 128 particularly at the frontal cortex [10]. 129 These brain malformations often co-occur with significant facial anomalies, 130 including anophthalmia and hypotelorism [10]. While all patients with HPE exhibit SMMCI features, not all individuals with SMMCI have HPE, underscoring the 131 132 variability in clinical expression [2]. Additionally, SMMCI may present alongside other complex syndromes such as CHARGE syndrome, VACTERL association, 133 Goldenhar syndrome, velocardiofacial syndrome, ectodermal dysplasia, Duane 134 135 retraction syndrome, type 1 oromandibular limb hypogenesis syndrome, DiGeorge syndrome, and Kabuki syndrome, each adding to the clinical complexity [2, 9, 11-136 137 14]. Given its autosomal hereditary nature, the genetic underpinnings of SMMCI, 138 particularly its role in transmitting more severe forms of HPE, remains poorly 139 understood, necessitating thorough genetic counseling and evaluation to assess and 140 manage the associated risks.



Fig. 1. CBCT of a 6-year-old girl with SMMCI. A. Coronal view B. Sagittal view. C. 3D reconstruction. Red arrows indicating the middle deciduous central incisor; white arrows indicating the middle permanent central incisor.

The various reported clinical characteristics that can be associated with SMMCI are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Clinical manifestations associated with SMMCI.

Category	Features
	Absence of philtrum [2, 15]
	Elevated and arched upper lip (pseudo cleft appearance) [2]
Oral cavity	Absence of incisive papilla [2, 15, 16]
	V-shaped narrow palate [2, 15, 16]
	Absence of intermaxillary suture anterior to the incisive papilla [2, 17]
	Labial and/or palatine cleft [2, 18]
	Reduced cranial circumference [2]
	Microcephaly [19]
Cranicfacial Characteristics	Hypotelorism [2]
Chambractar Characteristics	Ptosis [20]
	Narrow nasal bridge [2, 15]
	Choanal atresia [2, 21]

	Incomplete separation of cerebral hemispheres [2]
	Absence of the olfactory bulb [2]
Neuropropial Malformations	Agenesis of the corpus callosum [22]
neurocraniai manormations	Abnormality of the sella turcica and pituitary morphology [23]
	Panhypopituitarism [24]
	Alopecia [20]
	Premature birth [25]
Svatamia Manifastationa	Intellectual disability [2, 26]
Systemic Manifestations	Esophageal and duodenal atresia [2, 27]
	Congenital cardiac anomalies [2, 18]

164

165 Prenatal diagnosis

166 Prenatal screening for SMMCI primarily occurs during the 18th to 22nd weeks of 167 gestation through routine ultrasound examination [2]. If midline anomalies 168 suggestive of SMMCI or associated conditions like HPE are observed, further genetic testing may be warranted [2]. Chorionic villus sampling is recommended for 169 170 detecting mutations in genes such as the SHH, which is frequently implicated in 171 HPE [2]. While severe forms of HPE, characterized by significant structural brain anomalies, can typically be identified through these ultrasounds, microforms with 172 173 more subtile features might be overlooked, as these may present a macroscopically normal brain appearance. 174

175 **Postnatal diagnosis**

176 Postnatally, the diagnosis of SMMCI is established after birth, particularly when related physical manifestations such as respiratory distress from congenital 177 anomalies like stenosis of the piriform aperture or choanal atresia are present [2]. In 178 cases where SMMCI appears without additional syndromic features, the diagnosis is 179 180 typically made by dental professionals. This often occurs between the ages of 1 to 9 181 years, coinciding with dental developmental milestones [2]. However, it can be 182 detected as early as when the primary maxillary incisors erupt, around eight months 183 of age. 184

185	Differential diagnosis
186	Differentiating SMMCI from other conditions with similar presentations is critical.
187	Possible differential diagnoses include:
188	1. Dental avulsion: Loss of one of the two central incisors can mimic the
189	appearance of SMMCI but differs in that the remaining tooth is not
190	centrally aligned. The incisor is then not symmetrical [2, 28],
191	2. Cell development anomaly: An anomaly in the development of one of
192	the two central incisors may lead to dysmorphogenesis and premature
193	cessation of cell differentiation, resulting in a solitary incisor [2, 28],
194	3. Tooth fusion: The fusion of a supernumerary tooth with either a
195	permanent or primary central incisor may simulate the appearance of a
196	single incisor but typically can be distinguished by detailed dental
197	examination. [2, 28].
198	Management and treatment planning
199	Once the diagnosis is made, it is important to refer the patient to an appropriate
200	health facility to benefit from multidisciplinary care. This may involve specialists
201	such as pediatricians to rule out other associated pathologies, ENT specialists in case
202	of respiratory anomalies related to airway malformations, neuro-pediatricians to
203	exclude brain malformations with the help of magnetic resonance imaging,
204	endocrinologists in case of short stature for possible growth hormone treatment, and
205	genetic counselors, as SMMCI is part of the HPE spectrum, and there is still an
206	unknown risk of transmitting a more severe form of HPE to offspring [2, 28].
207	In the progression of age, dental treatment options may vary. For primary dentition,
208	preventive care is predominantly sufficient [2]. Subsequently, the use of a space
209	maintainer may become necessary prior to the placement of a fixed dental implant
210	[2]. Alternative solutions include the replacement of missing teeth with either a
211	removable prosthesis or a dental bridge [2]. In specific aesthetic cases, the extraction
212	of a malformed tooth followed by the mesial movement and reshaping of adjacent
213	lateral incisors and premolars might be indicated [2].
214	
215	

9

216

217	•	Acknowledgements: Julien Issa thank Poznan University of Medical Sciences, Raphael Olszewski and Julien Issa thank the Frasmus+ program of the
219		European Union for their support.
220	•	Funding sources statement: Julien Issa is a participant in the STER
221		Internationalization of Doctoral Schools Program from NAWA Polish National
222		Agency for Academic Exchange No. PPI/STE/2020/1/00014/DEC/02.
223	•	Competing interests: Prof R. Olszewski is the Editor-in-Chief of Nemesis. All
224		other authors declare non conflicts of interests.
225	٠	Ethical approval: We obtained the approval from our University and Hospital
226		Ethical committee for this study (B403/2019/03DEC/542).
227	٠	Informed consent: Patient was exempted from the informed consent according
228		to the ethical committee approval.

Authors contribution:

Author	Contributor role
Maillet Alix	Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing original draft preparation, Writing review and editing
Issa Julien	Conceptualization, Investigation, Writing original draft preparation, Writing review and editing
Manzo Bruno	Resources, Validation, review and editing
Olszewski Raphael	Validation, Writing original draft preparation, Supervision, Writ- ing review and editing

230 **References**

- 1. Scott DC. Absence of upper central incisors. Br Dent J 1958;104:247.
- 2. Hall RK. Solitary median maxillary central incisor (SMMCI) syndrome. Orphanet
 J Rare Dis 2006;1:12. doi:10.1186/1750-1172-1-12.
- 235

236	3. Hall RK, Bankier A, Aldred MJ, Kan K, Lucas JO, Perks AG. Solitary median
237	bmaxillary central incisor, short stature, choanal atresia/midnasal stenosis (SMMCI)
238	syndrome. Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod 1997;84:651-662.
239	doi:10.1016/S1079-2104(97)90368
240	
241	4. Fallet-Bianco C. Neuropathology of holoprosencephaly. Am J Med Genet C
242	Semin Med Genet 2018:178:214-228. doi:10.1002/aimg.c.31623.
243	50mm 1100 Const 2010,170.217 220. doi:10.1002/ujing.0.51025.
244	5 Li I Liu D Liu Y Zhang C Zheng S Solitary median maxillary central incisor
245	syndrome: An exploration of the nathogenic mechanism Front Genet
246	2022:13:780930 doi:10.3389/fgene 2022.780930
247	2022,13.700,50. doi:10.550,71gene.2022.700,50.
248	6. Dolan LM, Willson K, Wilson WG, 18p - syndrome with a single central maxil-
249	lary incisor. J Med Genet 1981:18:396-398. doi:10.1136/img.18.5.396.
250	
251	7. Kantaputra PN, Limwongse C, Tochareontanaphol C, Mutirangura A, Mevatee U,
252	Praphanphoj V. Contiguous gene syndrome of holoprosencephaly and hypotrichosis
253	simplex: association with an 18p11.3 deletion. Am J Med Genet A 2006;140:2598-
254	2602. doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.31386
255	
256	8. Szakszon K, Felszeghy E, Csízy I, Józsa T, Káposzta R, Balogh E, Oláh E, Ba-
257	logh I, Berényi E, Knegt AC, Ilyés I. Endocrine and anatomical findings in a case of
258	solitary median maxillary central incisor syndrome. Eur J Med Genet 2012;55:109-
259	111. doi:10.1016/j.ejmg.2011.11.002.
260	
261	9. Oberoi S, Vargervik K. Velocardiofacial syndrome with single central incisor.
262	Am J Med Genet A 2005;132A:194-197. doi:10.1002/ajmg.a.30434.12.
263	
264	10. Dubourg C, Bendavid C, Pasquier L, Henry C, Odent S, David V. Holoprosen-
265	cephaly. Orphanet J Rare Dis 2007;2:8. doi:10.1186/1750-1172-2-8.
266	
267	11. Harrison M, Calvert ML, Longhurst P. Solitary maxillary central incisor as a
268	new finding in CHARGE association: a report of two cases. Int J Paediatr Dent
269	1997;7:185-189. doi:10.1046/j.1365-263x.1997.00236.x.
270	
271	12. Yang HC, Shyur SD, Huang LH, Chang YCh, Wen DCh, Liang PH, Lin MT.
272	DiGeorge syndrome associated with solitary median maxillary central incisor. Asian
273	Pac J Allergy Immunol 2005;23:159-163.
274	
275	13. Parentin F, Perissutti P. Solitary median maxillary central incisor, Duane retrac-
276	tion syndrome, growth hormone deficiency and duplicated thumb phalanx: a case
277	report. Clin Dysmorphol 2003;12:141-142. doi:10.1097/00019605-200304000-
278	00014.

279	14. Buntinx I, Baraitser M. A single maxillary incisor as a manifestation of an ecto-
280	dermal dysplasia. J Med Genet 1989;26:648-651. doi:10.1136/jmg.26.10.648.
281	
282	15. Negi A. Negi A. Mohanan M. Solitary median maxillary central incisor syn-
283	drome: A rare entity. J Oral Maxillofac Pathol 2020:24:402.
284	doi:10.4103/iomfn.JOMFP 183 19.
285	
286	16 Lertsiriyorakul I Hall RK Solitary median maxillary central incisor syndrome
287	occurring together with oromandibular-limb hypogenesis syndrome type 1: a case
288	report of this previously unreported combination of syndromes. Int I Paediatr Dent
280	2008.18.306.311 doi:10.1111/j.1365.263X 2007.00907 x
203	2000,10.500-511. doi.10.1111/j.1505-205A.2007.00507.x.
201	17 Becktor KB Sverrild I Pallisgeard C Burbei I Kizer I Fruntion of the central
291	incices the intermavillery suture, and mavillery growth in national with a single me
292	dian maxillary control ingicar Acta Odontol Sound 2001;50:261 266
293	dian maximary central metsor. Acta Odomor Scand 2001,57.501-500.
294	u01.10.1080/00010550151/155202.
290	18 Holls G. Domokrichno V. Holls A. Munchi AV. Solitory modion mavillary con
290	trol incison sundrome associated with unique eleft relates a rore asso report. Con
297	Dant 2014/62/2016 210
290	Dent 2014;02:e10-e19.
299	10 Nanni I. Ming IE. Du V. Hall DV. Aldred M. Dankier A. Muanka M. SHIL mu
201	19. Nallill L, Millig JE, Du I, Hall KK, Aluleu M, Balkker A, Muelike M. Shifi line-
202	tionts and ravious of the literature Am I Mod Const 2001:102:1 10 doi:
302	10,1002/1006,8628(20010722)102.1 < 1 = 10 = 12265,2.0 = 0.2 = 1.0 = 1.
303	10.1002/1090-8028(20010/22)102.1<1au-ajiiig1550>5.0.c0,2-u.
305	20 Liberford RM Abdo OP Pruett RC Ocular coloborna associated with a solitary
305	maxillary control incisor and growth failure: manifestations of holoprosoneonhaly
307	Ann Onbthalmol 1087:10:226 227
308	Ann Ophthannoi 1987,19.220-227.
300	21 Blockmore K. Wynne DM. A case of solitory median maxillary control incisor
309	(SMMCI) syndrome with bilateral periform aperture stance and choanal stracia
211	Int I Dediate Otorbinology and 2010:74:067 060 doi:10.1016/j.jiporl.2010.05.018
212	Int J rediau Otorinnolalyngol 2010, 74.907-909. doi:10.1010/j.ijpoli.2010.05.018.
212	22 Welker DI Colley A Creek DA Deck MD Congenited need puriform exerture
214	stanosis with a single control maxillary incisor. Aust I Otolaryngol 1006:2:223 286
314	stenosis with a single central maximary meisor. Aust J Otolaryngol 1770,2.263–260.
216	22 Vigor I Backtor KR Lisson I Cormson C Dussell BC Face relate and granic
217	facial morphology in patients with a solitary modian maxillary control incisor. Fur L
210	Orthod 2001;22:62.72. doi:10.1002/oio/22.1.62
310	Orunou 2001,23.03-73. u01.10.1073/0J0/23.1.03.
320	24 Boudailliez B. Morichon-Delvallez N. Goldfarh A. Pautard IC. Longorta C.
320	24. Doudannez D, Monthon-Dervanez N, Oolulaito A, Fautalu JC, Lellaetts C, Diussan C. Incisive supérieure unique, hypopituitorisme et enomalie chromosomique
321	nussan C. meisive superioure unique, hypophuntarisme et anomane chromosomiale anomane incisor hypophuntarism and monosomy 10 shro
322	monosome rop [sontary upper mesor, hypoplutatistil and monosomly top clifo-
523	mosome abertation]. J Othet Hum 1703,31.237-242.

324	25. Ilhan O, Pekcevik Y, Akbay S, Ozdemir SA, Memur S, Kanar B, Kirbiyik O,
325	Ozer EA. Solitary median maxillary central incisor, holoprosencephaly and congeni-
326	tal nasal pyriform aperture stenosis in a premature infant: case report. Arch Argent
327	Pediatr 2018;116:e130-e134. doi:10.5546/aap.2018.eng.e130.
328	
329	26. Viana EDS, Kramer PF, Closs LQ, Scalco G. Solitary median maxillary central
330	incisor syndrome and holoprosencephaly: a case report. Pediatr Dent. 2010;32:424-
331	427.
332	
333	27. Fleming P, Nelson J, Gorlin RJ. Single maxillary central incisor in association
334	with mid-line anomalies. Br Dent J 1990;168:476–479.
335	
336	28. Ahn H, Yoon RK, Chussid S. Single central incisor: A case report. Columbia
337	Dent Rev 2008;12:19–20.
338	
339	
340	