Open access resources on motion artifact in adult dentomaxillofacial CBCT: illustrated pictorial review of medical literature
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Abstract

Objective: to know how much open access/open knowledge reference figures were available on motion artifacts in CBCT dentomaxillofacial imaging, and to describe and to categorize clinical variation of motion artifacts related to diverse types of head motion retrospectively observed during CBCT scanning time.

Material and methods: a search equation was performed on Pubmed database. We found 56 articles. The 45 articles were out of scope, and 7 articles were excluded after applying exclusion and inclusion criteria. Only 4 articles were finally freely accessible and selected for this review. Moreover, we retrospectively used our department CBCT database to search examinations with motion artifacts. We also checked retrospectively for radiological protocols as the type of motion artifact was described when occurred during the CBCT scanning time by the main observer. We had obtained the approval from the Ethical committee for this study.

Results: The accessibility of free figures on motion artifact in dentomaxillofacial CBCT is limited to 13 figures not annotated, and to one annotated figure presenting a double contour around cortex of bony orbits. We proposed to categorize the motion artifacts into three levels: low, intermediary, and major. Each level was related to: 1) progressive image quality degradation, 2) distortion of anatomy, and 3) potential possibility of performing clinical diagnosis. All 45 figures were annotated.

Conclusions: There exists a scarce open access literature on motion artifacts in CBCT. In our pictorial review we found that low level motion artifacts were more related to head rotation in axial plane (rolling). Rolling and lateral translation were responsible of intermediary level motion artifacts. Major level motion artifacts were created by complex motion with multiple rotation axes, multiple translation directions, and by anteroposterior translation. The main limitation of this study is related to retrospectively report empirical observation of patient motion during CBCT scanning and to compare these observations with motion artifacts found on clinical images. More robust methodology should be further developed using a virtual simulation of various types of head movements and associated parameters to consolidate the open knowledge on motion artifacts in dentomaxillofacial CBCT.
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Introduction

Motion artifacts present the 4th dimension in cone beam computed tomography (CBCT). Their presence may degrade image quality and image interpretation, and may require to retake CBCT thus increasing the absorbed dose to the patient. The prevalence of movement artifact in CBCT examinations depends on studies, and varies between 20% (patient monitoring) and 41% (motion artifact recognition) of cases [1]. Motion artifact is known to be related to physiological event such as breath, heart beating, unintentional tremor, and swallowing during CBCT scanning time [2]. Motion artifacts are more frequent in sitting and standing position CBCT [3, 4]. They can be also related to mechanical factors (cotton roll, head stabilization) [4, 5]. They are also more present in the beginning of the scanning time by surprising the patient by the noise and vibration [4, 6]. Motion artifacts are more related to specific group of age with patients less than 16 years and more than 65 years [4, 7]. Motion artifacts are related to longer scanning time [4, 8] to bigger size of field of view [4, 5], and to higher spatial resolution [9].

Thus open access and open knowledge on motion artifacts should be granted in medical literature to provide with annotated reference figures to recognize these important artifacts by the community of practitioners.

The purpose of our study was twofold. First we wanted to know how much open access/open knowledge and reference images were available for private practitioners on motion artifacts in CBCT dentomaxillofacial imaging. According to previous studies on availability of open knowledge on CBCT dentomaxillofacial imaging [10, 11], our null hypothesis was that there was scarce information available for free in the medical literature for dentists on this issue. We also wanted to describe and categorize great clinical variation of motion artifacts related to diverse types of head motion observed during CBCT scanning time.

Materials and methods

We used PubMed database (free database) with the private access to be in the same situation as dentists from private practice. The search equation was set as follow: ("motion"[MeSH Terms] OR "motion"[All Fields]) AND ("artifacts"[MeSH Terms] OR "artifacts"[All Fields] OR "artifact"[All Fields]) AND CBCT[All Fields]) AND "loattrfree full text"[sb]. The search was performed on 12.02.2020. One observer performed the search. The Inclusion criteria were: free full text articles related to motion artifacts in CBCT in humans. The exclusion criteria were: closed access articles, articles not related to motion artifacts in CBCT, experimental articles, and articles related to animals. Two languages were selected: French and English. We found 56 articles. The 45 articles were out of scope, and 7 articles were excluded after applying exclusion and
inclusion criteria. Only 4 articles were finally freely accessible and selected for this review [12-15]. Moreover, we retrospectively used our department CBCT database to search examinations with artifacted images to provide a broader spectrum of motion artifacts variation. We checked retrospectively for 2200 radiological protocols (from 2018 to 2020) as the type of motion artifact was described by the main observer when occurred during the CBCT scanning time. We obtained the approval from our Ethical committee for this study (B403/2019/03DEC/542). We propose to separate the importance of motion artifacts into three levels: low, intermediary, and major. Each level was related to: 1) progressive image quality degradation, 2) progressive distortion of anatomy, and 3) potential possibility of performing clinical diagnosis. All figures were annotated.

Results

The accessibility of free figures on motion artifact in dentomaxillofacial CBCT is limited to 13 not annotated figures, and to one annotated figure presenting a double contour around cortex of bony orbits [article 24]. We found 278 CBCT examinations with motion artifacts described in the radiological protocol out of 2200 CBCT examinations from our department database (12.6%).

Motion artifacts of low level

Fig. 1. Patient 1. Sagittal view of the mandible and of the cervical spine. Arrow: duplication of the contour on vestibular side of the mandible. Dashed arrow: duplication of the contour of the incisor on vestibular side. No double contour around the chin. * No motion of the cervical spine.
Fig. 2. Patient 1. Head rotation from left to right. Three-dimensional (3D) reconstruction of the anterior mandible. Thick arrows: inferior limit of duplicated contour. Dashed arrows: vertical limit of the double contour at the level of tooth n°41. Dotted arrows: vertical limit of the double contour posterior to tooth n°43. Thin arrows: 3D reconstruction of double contour superimposed on the vestibular side of teeth n°31, 41, and 43.
Fig. 3. Patient 2. Head rotation from left to right. A. Axial view of the mandible at the chin level. Arrow: thin streak tangent to bone area, and oriented to the right side. B. Axial view of the mandible at teeth level. Arrow: thin streak tangent to teeth crowns, and oriented to the right side. C. Sagittal view of the mandible, and of the cervical spine. Arrows: double contour around the mandibular chin area. Dotted arrow: absence of double contour around cervical vertebrae (no spine motion).
Fig. 4. Patient 2. Head rotation from left to right. 3D reconstruction of the mandible. A. Frontal view of mandibular teeth. Black arrows: additional streaks on vestibular crown side of lower incisors and canine, and oriented to the right side. B. Right lateral view of the mandible chin area. Black arrows: 3D reconstruction of the motion streaks tangent to the vestibular cortical bone, and oriented to the right side.

Fig. 5. Patient 3. Head rotation from right to left. Axial view of the mandible at the level of the chin. Thin arrow: thin streak tangent to the chin area, and oriented to the left.
Fig. 6. Patient 3. Head rotation from right to left. 3D reconstruction of the mandible, anterior view. Black arrows: a line of fine streaks tangent to the vestibular cortical bone, and oriented to the left.

Fig. 7. Patient 4. Head rotation from right to left, and translation from right to left. A. Axial view of the mandible at the level of dental roots. Arrows: streak tangent to the vestibular side of tooth crown. Dotted arrow: Y-shaped artifact of the endodontic canal filling, oriented to the left. B. Sagittal view of the mandible. Arrows: minimal double contour around the chin area and around front teeth. C. Axial view of the mandible at the chin level. Thin arrow: thin streak tangent to the chin area, and oriented to the left. D. 3D reconstruction of the chin. Black arrows: line corresponding to the streaks tangent to the chin. White arrow: double contour of the cortical bone on the left side (translation right to left effect).
Motion artifacts of intermediary level

Fig. 8. Patient 5. Head rotation from right to left. A. Frontal view of the maxilla and of the mandible. Arrow: minimal double contour around the upper incisor. B. Axial view of the maxilla. Thin arrows: thin streak tangent to teeth crowns and oriented to the left side. Thicker arrow: Y-shaped artifact of the endodontic root filling. Y shape is oriented to the left. Loss of pulp chamber image for premolars and molars on right and left side. C. Axial view of the mandible at the chin level. Arrow: minimal thin streak tangent to bone area and oriented to the left side.
Fig. 9. Patient 6. Head rotation and translation from left to right. A. Axial view of the mandible at the level of dental roots. Arrow: minimal streak tangent to the chin area, and oriented to the right. B. Axial view of mandible at the level of teeth crowns. Arrow: minimal streak tangent to vestibular side of anterior teeth, and oriented to the right. C. Sagittal view of the maxilla and of the mandible. Arrows: minimal double contours around front teeth. Dotted arrow: soft tissue chin is not in contact with the plastic chin support. D. Axial view of the maxilla at the level of teeth crowns. Thin arrows: minimal streaks tangent to vestibular side of anterior teeth crowns. Thick arrow: large stripe corresponding to the translation movement from left to right. Dotted arrows: streak tangent to the lateral side of the tooth crown at the side of the end of translation movement.
Fig. 10. Patient 6. Head rotation and translation from left to right. 3D reconstruction of maxillary and mandibular front teeth. A. Anterior view. Arrows: 3D reconstructed streaks on the vestibular side of teeth n°11, 12, and 13, and oriented to the right. B. Anterior view. White arrows: 3D reconstructed streaks on the vestibular side of teeth n°11, and 12, and oriented to the right. C. Anterior view on the mandibular chin area. Arrow: partial vertical line corresponding to thin streaks tangent to the bone. D. Anterior view of the mandible teeth. Black arrows: 3D reconstructed streaks on the vestibular side of teeth n°31, 41, 42, and 43, and oriented to the right.
Fig. 11. Patient 7. Rotation from right to left, and translation from right to left. Sagittal view of the mandible, the maxilla and of the cervical spine. Thin arrow: double contour on the vestibular side of the maxilla. Thicker arrows: double contour on the vestibular and lingual side of the mandible. * No motion at the level of the cervical spine.

Fig. 12. Patient 7. Head rotation from right to left, and translation from right to left. A. Axial view of the mandible. Arrow: thin streak tangent to the chin area of the mandible, and oriented to the left. B. 3D reconstruction of the mandible, view from the left side. Black arrows: 3D reconstruction of the double contour corresponding to streaks from A over the native mandible. Dotted arrows: double contour at the level of basilar cortical bone on the left side (corresponding to the translation from right to left).
Fig. 13. Patient 7. Head rotation from right to left, and translation from right to left. Axial view of the maxilla. Thin arrows: streaks tangent to vestibular side of the anterior teeth, and oriented to the left. Thick arrows: large stripes corresponding to the translation from right to left. Very thin arrow: U-shaped streak around vestibular face of lateral tooth crown, and oriented to the left. Dashed arrow: Y-shaped movement artifact of endodontic filling oriented to the left.

Fig. 14. Patient 8. Head rotation from right to left, and translation from right to left. Sagittal view of the maxilla, and of the skull base. Arrows: double contour around maxillary front teeth. * No movement at the level of the skull base.
**Fig. 15.** Patient 8. Axial view of the maxilla. Head rotation from right to left, and translation from right to left. Arrows: streaks tangent to vestibular face of anterior teeth crowns. Streaks are oriented to the left. Dashed arrow: Y-shaped artifact of endodontic canal filling in tooth n°14 oriented to the left. Dotted arrows: stripes corresponding to the translation from right to left. Impossible to recognize the pulp chamber for right, and for left lateral teeth.

**Fig. 16.** Patient 8. 3D reconstruction of maxillary and mandibular anterior teeth. Black arrows: 3D reconstruction of streaks on vestibular side of teeth n°12, 11, 21, and 22. Streaks are oriented to the left. Dashed black arrows: 3D reconstruction of streaks on vestibular side of teeth n°43, 42, 41, 31, and 32. Streaks are oriented to the left.
**Fig. 17.** Patient 9. Rotation of the head from right to left, and multiple direction translations from right to left. Sagittal view. Arrow: double contour around the chin area. * No motion at the level of cervical spine.

**Fig. 18.** Patient 9. Rotation of the head from right to left, and multiple direction translations from right to left. Axial view of the mandible. Thin arrow: thin streak tangent to the vestibular side of the front teeth. Black arrows: streaks tangent to the lateral walls of teeth crowns. White big arrow: large stripe corresponding to the main translation from right to left. Dotted arrows: black streaks corresponding to the borders of large lateral stripes. Grey big arrow: large stripe corresponding to the main translation from right to left on lingual side. Thick white cream arrows: black streaks corresponding to other direction of translation.
Fig. 19. Patient 10. Head rotation from right to left, and translation from right to left. Sagittal view of the maxilla, of the mandible and of the cervical spine. Complete edentulous patient. Dotted arrows: double contour on the Vestibular, and on lingual side in the midsagittal chin area. Arrow: minimal double contour at the level of the maxilla. * No motion on cervical spine.

Fig. 20. Patient 10. Axial view of the mandible. Head rotation from right to left, and translation from right to left. Arrow on vestibular side: streak tangent to the chin area. Two arrows on lingual side: duplication of lingual cortices.
**Fig. 21.** Patient 10. Head rotation from right to left, and translation from right to left. 3D reconstruction of the chin area. Black arrows: line of streaks oriented from the right to the left superimposed on the vestibular mandibular chin.

**Fig. 22.** Patient 10. Head rotation from right to left at the level of the maxilla, and translation from right to left. A. 3D reconstruction of the anterior view of the maxilla. White arrow: streak tangent to the bone. Black arrows: 3D reconstruction of the streak tangent to the vestibular cortex. B. 3D reconstruction of the maxilla, a view from the left side. Black arrows: vertical part of the streak. C. Axial view of the maxilla. Arrow: streak tangent to the bone, at the same level as in A. Dashed arrows: multiple streaks arising from bone and oriented to the left side.
Fig. 23. Patient 10. Head rotation from right to left, and translation from right to left. White arrows: double contour of the basilar cortical bone on the left side (translation from right to left).

Motion artifacts of major level

Fig. 24. Patient 11. Sagittal view of the maxilla and of the mandible. Translation anterior-posterior of the head. Arrows: double contour of front teeth of the maxilla and mandible. Dotted arrow: anterior-posterior translation of the maxilla. Big arrow: anterior-posterior translation at the level of the mandibular chin. Big dashed arrow: double contour at the level of the floor of the mouth and of the tongue. Small dashed arrow: double contour of the hyoid bone. * No motion at the level of cervical spine.
**Fig. 25.** Patient 11. Anterior-posterior translation of the mandible. Arrow: major shift of vestibular cortical bone oriented to the right. Dashed arrow: major shift of lingual cortical bone oriented to the right. Shift of the left hemi-mandible over the right hemi-mandible.

**Fig. 26.** Patient 11. Anterior-posterior translation of the mandible. 3D reconstruction of the mandible, left side. Black arrows: 3D reconstruction of left side vestibular cortex over the right side vestibular cortex. Black dotted arrows: duplication of the contour of the left mandibular basilar cortical bone (translation of the left mandible).
Fig. 27. Patient 11. Anterior-posterior translation of the mandible. 3D reconstruction of the mandible, right side. Black arrows: 3D reconstruction of left side vestibular cortical bone over the right side vestibular cortical bone. White arrow: duplication of the contour of the right mandibular basilar cortical bone (translation of the right mandible).

Fig. 28. Patient 11. Anterior-posterior translation of the maxilla. Arrow: shift of the left vestibular cortical bone over the right vestibular cortical bone. Elongation of teeth crowns on the right side. Shortening of teeth crowns on the left side. Global vanishing of dental structures on both dental arches.
**Fig. 29.** Patient 11. 3D reconstruction of the maxillary teeth. Ghost dental crowns (g) superimposed over true dental structures.

**Fig. 30.** Patient 11. 3D reconstruction of the maxillary teeth. Ghost dental crowns and roots (g) superimposed over true dental structures.

**Fig. 31.** Patient 11. Axial view of the maxilla at the level of maxillary sinus. Arrow: no shift of cortices at the level of anterior nasal spine. Dashed arrows: thickening of right and left maxillary sinus mucosa without duplication of contours, and still with possibility of correct radiological diagnosis.
Fig. 32. Patient 12. Sagittal view of the maxilla, mandible, and cervical spine. Head anterior-posterior translation (multiple directions), and rotation of the head from left to right. Big arrow: double contour around the maxilla front teeth. Arrows: important double contour around the mandibular teeth, and around the chin area. Dashed arrow: recording of multiple motion directions at the tongue level. Dotted arrow: swallowing with soft palate blocking the nasopharynx. ** No motion at the level of the cervical spine.

Fig. 33. Patient 12. Head anterior-posterior translation (multiple directions), and rotation of the head from left to right. Axial view of the mandible. Thin arrow: shift between hemi-mandible left and right on the midline, and the anterior shift of vestibular cortical bone on the midline. Dotted arrow: shift between hemi-mandible left and right on the midline, and the anterior shift of lingual cortical bone. Big arrow: stripe corresponding to a direction of vertical ramus translation. Arrows on left lingual side of the mandible: multiple “flame” streaks perpendicular to the lingual cortical bone.
Fig. 34. Patient 12. Head anterior-posterior translation (multiple directions), and rotation of the head from left to right. 3D reconstruction of the mandible. Black arrows: anterior shift of left vestibular cortical bone oriented to the right.

Fig. 35. Patient 12. Head anterior-posterior translation (multiple directions), and rotation of the head from left to right. Thin arrows: ghost images of anterior teeth oriented to the right. Dotted arrows: U-shape metallic artifacts oriented to the right. Big dashed arrow: increased vestibular-lingual dimension of the left mandible. Loss of detail for bone marrow. Arrow: shift in soft tissues. Big arrows: stripes corresponding to another direction of anterior-posterior translation.
Fig. 36. Patient 12. Head anterior-posterior translation (multiple directions), and rotation of the head from left to right. A. 3D reconstruction of anterior teeth of the maxilla. Ghost representation of teeth (g) superimposed over true dental structures. B. 3D reconstruction of anterior teeth of the mandible. Ghost representation of teeth (g) superimposed over true dental structures.
Fig. 37. Patient 13. Head anterior-posterior translation. Sagittal view of mandible, maxilla, and of the cervical spine. Teeth with orthodontic braces. Arrows: double contour around the mandible. Small dashed arrow: double contour around the hyoid bone. Longer dashed arrows: double contour around the maxillary palate. * No movement at the level of the cervical spine.
Fig. 38. Patient 13. Head anterior-posterior translation. A. 3D reconstruction of the mandible. Black arrows: anterior shift of left vestibular cortical bone oriented to the right. White arrows: double contour at the lingual basilar cortical bone (corresponding to anterior-posterior translation). Black arrow: metallic element belonging to orthodontic device. B. Axial view of the mandible. Thin arrow: shift between left and right hemi-mandible on the midline, and the anterior shift of vestibular cortical bone on the midline. Arrow: shift between left and right hemi-mandible on the midline, and the anterior shift of lingual cortical bone. C. 3D reconstruction of the mandible, right view. Black arrows: anterior shift of left vestibular cortical bone superimposed over the right vestibular cortical bone, and oriented to the right.
Fig. 39. Patient 13. Head anterior-posterior translation. Axial view of the maxilla. Thin arrows: “Comet tail” artifact in soft tissues on the left side, and associated with metallic element belonging to orthodontic device on the left mandible.

Fig. 40. Patient 13. Head anterior-posterior translation. Axial view of the mandible. Thin arrows: “fireworks” artifact around the metallic element present in soft tissue on the right and left side. Arrows: metallic “fan” artifact oriented to the right side.
**Fig. 41.** Patient 14. Complex head rotation, and lateral translation from right to left. A. Important double contour of the mandible (double arrow). Arrow: triple contour of the mandible in the soft tissue. B. 3D reconstruction of the mandible. Black arrows: important anterior shift of left vestibular cortical bone superimposed over the right vestibular cortical bone, and oriented to the right. ** 3D reconstruction of complex rotation movement at the level of mandibular chin corresponding to the third contour from A. C. Coronal view of the mandible. Duplication of contour on the left mandible corresponding to the translation from right to left.
**Fig. 42.** Patient 14. Complex head rotation, and lateral translation from right to left. Thin arrow: major streak oriented to the right. Dotted arrows: “flame” artifacts on the lingual side of the left mandible. Big arrow: modification of the shape of the left compared to right mandible. Small arrows: multiple deformations of the surrounding soft tissues imaging. ** Movement at the level of the cervical spine.
**Fig. 43.** Patient 14. Complex head rotation, and lateral translation from right to left. A. 3D reconstruction of the mandible, anterior view. Black arrows: important anterior shift of left vestibular cortex over the right vestibular cortical bone, and oriented to the right. White arrows: double contour of the lingual cortex on the left side corresponding to the translation from right to left. B. 3D reconstruction of the mandible, inferior view. Telescoping of right and left hemi-mandibles. Black arrows: important anterior shift of left vestibular cortical bone superimposed over the right vestibular cortical bone, and oriented to the right. * 3D reconstruction of the third double contour on the left side. White arrows: double contour of the mandibular basilar cortical bone on the left side corresponding to the translation from right to left.
Fig. 44. Patient 14. Complex head rotation, and lateral translation from right to left. Axial view of the mandible. Dotted arrows: multiple centers of rotation inside the left mandible. Arrows: deformation of soft tissues surrounding the mandible. Dashed arrows: aliasing artifact. Presence of “stars” metallic artifacts on anterior teeth due to addition of metal and movement artifacts.

Discussion

There is a scarce open access/open knowledge resources on motion artifacts in dentomaxillofacial CBCT in medical literature [article 24], and therefore our null hypothesis was accepted. Instead of general description of stripe-like, ring-like patterns, double bone contours, and lack of sharpness of images [1] we found a much greater range of different types of motion artifacts (Table 1).
Table 1. Types of motion artifacts related to the level of image degradation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low level</th>
<th>Intermediary level</th>
<th>Major level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Duplication of contour in the midsagittal area</td>
<td>Loss of pulp chamber image for premolars and molars</td>
<td>Double contour at the level of the floor of the mouth, the tongue, the hyoid bone, cervical spine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streaks tangent to the vestibular cortical bone</td>
<td>Large stripe in soft tissue lateral to lateral teeth (lateral translation)</td>
<td>Major anterior shift of hemi-vestibular cortical bone over the over side</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Streaks tangent to the vestibular side of anterior teeth</td>
<td>3D reconstructed streaks on the vestibular side of teeth</td>
<td>Duplication of the contour of the right and left mandibular basilar cortical bone</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3D reconstruction of the motion streaks tangent to the vestibular cortex, “pseudo-fracture”</td>
<td>Double contour at the level of basilar cortical bone (lateral translation)</td>
<td>Global vanishing of dental structures on both dental arches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y-shaped artifact of the endodontic root filling</td>
<td>U-shaped streak around vestibular face of lateral tooth crown</td>
<td>Ghost images of dental crowns over true dental structures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black streaks corresponding to other direction of translation (limited “hatched” image)</td>
<td>&quot;Flame&quot; streaks perpendicular to the lingual cortex (multiple translations)</td>
<td>&quot;Comet tail&quot; metal and motion artifact</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Fireworks&quot; metal and motion artifact</td>
<td>&quot;Stars&quot; metal and motion artifacts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>&quot;Deformations of the surrounding soft tissues imaging&quot;</td>
<td>&quot;Hatched&quot; image artifact (complex rotation and translation motion)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Few in vitro studies [4, 16, 17] provide with explanation of motion artifacts produced in CBCT. Rolling motion artifact seems to have the most disturbing effect on the diagnostic quality of the image [16]. However, that study used Newtom CBCT where the patient is in lying position [16]. The majority of CBCT devices use a sitting or standing position where translation motion is also possible to occur. We used Planmeca Promax3D Mid device. Two elements are provided to block the head in this type of CBCT. There exists a head positioning and locking plate with screw around the parietal bones of the skull, and a chin plastic support for the mandible. In this system the head cannot translate vertically in coronal plane (up-down), cannot rotate anteriorly or posteriorly (nodding) in the sagittal plane, and cannot rotate...
lateral (from right to left and vice versa) in the coronal plane (tilting).

However, the upper head positioning plate with blocking screw could be not put on maximum on the patient head because of the pain expressed by the patient, because of a great amount of natural hair or because of ethnical hairdressing. In those situations the head presents with much more freedom for motion during CBCT examination. Therefore, the anterior-posterior translation in sagittal plane, the translation right-left in axial plane, and the rotation in axial plane (rolling) are possible.

The second source of motion artifact during CBCT scanning is related to the circular pathway of the detector and of the generator around the patient. In Planmeca Promax 3D mid, the detector is much closer to the patient than the generator, and it moves from left to right. During the scanning time the detector is first close to the patient right shoulder, then to the upper back and to the back of the head, and at the end it is close to the right shoulder. If the detector touch the shoulders or the back of the head during the acquisition time the patient may move the body and the head to avoid the detector obstacle, and it will induce the rotation motion artifact. This problem can occur with patients with short neck, with kyphosis, and especially if the mandible volume is needed to be scanned. Posterior-anterior head motion artifact could be induced when the detector touches the back of the head. It may happen with some kind of hairdressings, dreadlocks, clips in hair under veil, and wigs. Moreover, some patients with major mandibular prognathism may present with important anterior-posterior head length. In those patients the back of the head may enter in contact with the moving detector. Multiple rotations and translations may occur for patients with specific conditions such as diverse types of dementia, Parkinson disease, head tremor, claustrophobia or mental disability. Patients in severe pain presenting advanced osteonecrosis (post radiotherapy, on biphosphonates) in the mandible, or with facial fractures may not be able to stand without motion during scanning time. Patients on wheelchairs, in sitting position, may also present global instability, and present with complex motion artifacts.

In our illustrated review we found that low level motion artifacts were more related to head rotation in axial plane (rolling). Rolling and lateral translation were responsible of intermediary level motion artifacts. Major level motion artifacts were created by complex motion with multiple rotation axes, multiple translation directions, and anteroposterior translation. Each level above low level presented with the accumulation of previous artifacts, and shown new types of artifacts related to each level.

The possibility of clinical diagnosis with images artefacted by motion depends of the anatomical area of interest. Even a small rotation motion degrades the quality of tooth image, of tooth surroundings, and of anatomy of dental canals (Figures 1, 8) which corresponds also with findings from the study of Moratin et al. [4]. However, the images with major level motion artifacts may still serve for sinus diagnosis (Figure 31) and for supranumerary teeth anatomical location description (Figure 45).

The prevention seems the best choice as correction algorithms are of very limited help for intermediary and major level motion artifacts. Each patient should receive
clear explanation about motion artifacts, and do not move during the entire scanning
time. Head locking plates should be closed as much as possible around the head.
Patients with hairdressings, wigs, and dreadlocks should have their hair put around
the neck and as much on the front. If possible hair clips should be taken off under
veils. Patient with obvious kyphosis, major prognathism, craniofacial syndrome,
should be discarded from standing or sitting CBCT, and CT scan should be proposed
instead. A presentation of the CBCT device and a test rotation without patient
should be shown to patients with claustrophobia to gain their trust in the device, and
in the surroundings. Standing stability of patients with dementia, Parkinson disease,
head tremor or mental disability should be evaluated case by case. Some patients can
sit in the device instead of stand. In Planmeca Promax 3D Mid we can also use a
ultra low dose protocol with a time of scanning of 6 seconds. This protocol is used
for children but it can also be used to avoid motion artifacts in disabled patients.
Patients with severe pain or with facial fracture may be discarded and be send to CT
scan as emergency situation.
The main limitation of this study is related to empirical observation of patient
motion and to correlation of this observation with obtained artifacted images.
However, more robust methodology should be developed possibly exploring
virtual 3D simulation of various directions of movements (rotation, translation, and
complex motion) and of length of time of movement on virtual skull images during
CBCT scanning time to validate the patient observation findings from this initial
study [16, 17].
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