



## Accessibility to the knowledge on anatomical variations from dentomaxillofacial CBCT

---

Authors:

Olszewski R<sup>1,2\*</sup> DDS, MD, PhD, DrSc,  
Hebda A<sup>2</sup> MS

### Affiliations:

<sup>1</sup> Department of Oral and maxillofacial surgery, Cliniques universitaires saint Luc, Université catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium

<sup>2</sup> Oral and maxillofacial surgery research Lab, NMSK, IREC, SSS, Université catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium

Corresponding author: Pr R. Olszewski, Department of Oral and maxillofacial surgery, Cliniques universitaires saint Luc, Université catholique de Louvain, Brussels, Belgium, phone+3227645718; fax: +3227645876 ; ORCID iD: [orcid.org/0000-0002-2211-7731](https://orcid.org/0000-0002-2211-7731)

Disclaimer: the views expressed in the submitted article are our own and not an official position of the institution or funder.

20

## Abstract

21

**Objective:** to investigate the accessibility of open access article on anatomical variations described on cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) using PubMed database. We wanted to investigate how many journals are sharing articles without pay-wall and how many are sharing articles without author publication charges.

22

23

24

25

26

**Material and methods:** a search equation was designed with exclusion criteria limiting the search in PubMed to articles published in English and French. The search was performed by one observer. We had found 2228 articles; among them 709 were accessible as 'full text'. After applying exclusion criteria and after full text reading only 50 articles remained for the review.

27

28

29

30

31

32

**Results:** the 50 selected articles shared 306 annotated (visual marking, explanation like arrows) and 432 not annotated figures with the public. The 76% of articles were single studies on one specific topic. The main topic was endodontics with 22 articles. 28 journals from all continents participated in the effort of sharing of figures on anatomical variations from CBCT. However, only 2 journals were completely free of charges for authors and readers.

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

**Conclusions:** we have found only 15 annotated and 3 not annotated figures in 2 articles published in 2 different open access journals (without reader pay-wall and without author publication charges). Sharing the knowledge on anatomical variations from dentomaxillofacial CBCT represents an exception in dental literature.

40

41

42

43

44

45

**Keywords:** open access, open science, anatomical variations, CBCT

46

47

48

## Introduction

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

One of most important European recommendations for the good practical use of cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) in dentomaxillofacial radiology based on conclusions from European project SedentexCT from 2011 (<http://www.sedentexct.eu/>), is that a clinician (dentist, maxillofacial surgeon) is responsible of all of the CBCT field of view. Therefore, the sound knowledge of radiological anatomy, including anatomical variations, and of radiological signs of diseases from dentomaxillofacial area on CBCT examination should represent new skills to acquire by general and specialized practitioners.

Incidental findings and anatomical variations [1] should be of interest for dentists using CBCT in daily practice [2, 3]. Multiple retrospective studies on incidental findings on CBCT [4, 5] were already performed on diverse human populations such as in Germany (1029 CBCT) [6], United States (between 200 and 1000 CBCT depending of a study) [7-11], Canada (427 CBCT [12] and 7689 CBCT specifically about clivus and cervical spine [13]), Brazil (150 CBCT) [5-14], Switzerland (999 CBCT) [15], India (201 CBCT of maxillary sinus) [16], Iran (198 CBCT of maxillary sinuses) [17], Turkey (207 CBCT) [18], and South Korea (500 CBCT) [19].

All these studies shown different frequencies of anatomical variations and incidental findings depending of a given population. These studies emphasized on the major role of education of dentist in recognition of incidental findings and of anatomical variations, and on dentist responsibility in verifying all the CBCT field of view.

Education and self-education of general and specialized dentists on anatomical variations found in CBCT examination is based on the accessibility to the reference articles and annotated figures from freely accessible major database such as PubMed.

Currently many articles are hidden behind pay-walls and their access is limited. Therefore, we hypothesized that there should exist a major lack of free and accessible articles and of figures showing and explaining anatomical variations from CBCT because of current predominant economical model of scientific publication.

We wanted to know in the present study how many figures were shared with the public without payment and what were the types of anatomical variations described on CBCT and accessible for free from PubMed. We also wanted to analyze how many figures were annotated (with clear visual information e.g. arrows showing anatomical details, variations, diseases), and thus addressed to general public, and

88 how many figures were not annotated and addressed to specialized public. Finally,  
89 we wanted to know what kind of journals published free figures accessible for  
90 readers, and if the publication process was also free for authors.

## 91 **Materials and methods**

92 The search equation was performed on PubMed database on 17.06.2019 by one  
93 observer. The search equation was as following: CBCT [All Fields] AND ("anatomy  
94 and histology"[Subheading] OR ("anatomy"[All Fields] AND "histology"[All  
95 Fields]) OR "anatomy and histology"[All Fields] OR "anatomy"[All Fields] OR  
96 "anatomy"[MeSH Terms]) 17.06.2019.

97 There was no time limit (from 1948), but in the practical terms dental CBCT related  
98 articles appeared from 1998 onwards. The selected languages were English and  
99 French. Exclusion criteria were: all articles out of the scope of the present study,  
100 articles not involving any description of anatomical findings from CBCT, in vitro  
101 studies, experimental studies, animal studies, studies in languages other than English  
102 and French. We also excluded articles with figures describing methods (i.e.,  
103 measurements) and not describing anatomy or anatomical variations.

104 The selection was first performed on title and abstract then the selected articles were  
105 read in full-text by one observer. We found a total of 2228 articles. Among 2228  
106 articles there were 709 articles that were free full-text AND full-text (31.82%). After  
107 applying exclusion criteria and after a full-text review we found 50 articles  
108 corresponding to our search.

109 The search of information on journals publishing policies was performed on official  
110 web pages of journals (instructions for authors, copyrights licenses). We especially  
111 wanted to know about country of publisher, or publishing company behind the  
112 journal title, on open access policies, on the type of proposed license, on author  
113 publication charges, on fees at submission, on fees for evaluation, on fees for  
114 technical review, and on fees for printing version.

## 115 **Results**

116 The 50 selected articles shared 306 annotated and 432 not annotated figures with  
117 the public (Table 1). The 10 main areas of investigation included 1) endodontics:  
118 22/50 (44%) articles, with 120/306 (39.21%) annotated, and 169/432 (39.12%) not  
119 annotated figures; 2) morphology of the maxilla: 6/50 articles (12%), with 4/306  
120 (7.84%) annotated, and 32/432 (7.4%) not annotated figures; 3) morphology of the  
121 skull base: 5/50 articles (10%), with 23/306 (7.51%) annotated, and 24/432 (5.55%)  
122 not annotated figures; 4) bone diseases: 4/50 articles (8%), with 28/306 (9.15  
123 %) annotated, and 12/432 (2.77%) not annotated figures; 5) morphology of cervical  
124 spine: 3/50 articles (6%), with 35/306 (11.43%) annotated, and 5/432 (1.15%) not  
125 annotated figures; 6) morphology of temporomandibular joint (TMJ): 3/50 (6%)

126 articles, with 8/306 (2.61%) annotated, and 79/432 (18.28%) not annotated figures;  
 127 7) mandible: 3/50 articles (6%), with 19/306 (6.2%) annotated, and 15/432 (3.47%)  
 128 not annotated figures; 8) orthodontics: 2/50 articles (4%), with 11/306 (3.59  
 129 %) annotated, and 65/432 (15.04%) not annotated figures; 9) dentomaxillofacial  
 130 radiology (general): 1/50 articles (2%), with 16/306 (5.22%) annotated, and 13/432  
 131 (3%) not annotated figures; 10) periodontics: 1/50 articles (2%), with 3/306 (0.98%)  
 132 annotated, and 3/432 (0.69%) not annotated figures.

133 The 38/50 (76%) articles are single studies on one specific topic. Only 3 topics  
 134 (endodontic study on teeth 17 and 27, endodontic study on teeth 37 and 47, and  
 135 description of variations of ponticulus posticus in C1 vertebra) are presented in 2  
 136 studies. Two topics (endodontic study on teeth 16 and 26, and one study on root  
 137 fractures) are described in 3 studies. Number of figures with annotations vary from 1  
 138 to 31 per article, and without annotations from 1 to 69 per article.

140 **Table 1. Sharing of figures and type of studied anatomical variations**  
 141 **from CBCT.**

142

| Type of studies                     | Number of articles | Figures with annotation | Figures without annotation |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------|----------------------------|
| <b>Endodontics</b>                  |                    |                         |                            |
| Teeth 41, 42 and 31, 32 [20]        | 1                  | 2                       | 3                          |
| Teeth 33 to 43 [21]                 | 1                  | 3                       | 5                          |
| Teeth 34 and 44 [22]                | 1                  | 2                       | 2                          |
| Teeth 35 and 45 [23]                | 1                  | 2                       | 14                         |
| Teeth 34, 35 and 44, 45 [24]        | 1                  | 5                       | 35                         |
| Teeth 36, 37 and 46, 47 [25]        | 1                  | 6                       | 14                         |
| Teeth 37 and 47 [26, 27]            | 2                  | 19                      | 4                          |
| Teeth 36-38 and 46-48 [28]          | 1                  | 3                       | 4                          |
| Teeth 16-18 and 26-28 [29]          | 1                  | 27                      | 24                         |
| Teeth 16 and 26 [30-32]             | 3                  | 5                       | 7                          |
| Teeth 17 and 27 [33, 34]            | 2                  | 4                       | 3                          |
| Premolars maxilla and mandible [35] | 1                  | 6                       | 5                          |
| Full mouth [36]                     | 1                  | 2                       | 1                          |
| Root fracture [37-39]               | 3                  | 24                      | 34                         |
| Incisors [40]                       | 1                  | 4                       | 12                         |
| Dens invaginatus [41]               | 1                  | 6                       | 2                          |
| <b>Total endodontics</b>            | <b>22</b>          | <b>120</b>              | <b>169</b>                 |
| <b>Maxilla</b>                      |                    |                         |                            |
| Infraorbital foramen [42]           | 1                  | 4                       | 2                          |
| Canalis sinuosum [43]               | 1                  | 2                       | 2                          |
| Greater palatine grooves            | 1                  | 4                       | 1                          |

|   |          |           |           |
|---|----------|-----------|-----------|
| [44]  |          |           |           |
| Maxillary sinus [45]  | 1        | 9         | 12        |
| Nasopalatine canal [46]   | 1        | 4         | 7         |
| Maxillary sinus septa [47]                                      | 1        | 1         | 8         |
| <b>Total maxilla</b>  | <b>6</b> | <b>24</b> | <b>32</b> |
| <b>Skull base</b>   |          |           |           |
| Sphenoid sinus [48]   | 1        | 3         | 3         |
| Pneumatisation of parapharyngeal space [49]                     | 1        | 12        | 1         |
| Foramen tympanicum or foramen of Huschke [50]                   | 1        | 4         | 6         |
| Pneumatization of the articular eminence [51]                   | 1        | 1         | 5         |
| Sphenooccipital synchondrosis [52]                              | 1        | 3         | 9         |
| <b>Total skull base</b>   | <b>5</b> | <b>23</b> | <b>24</b> |
| <b>Bone diseases</b>  |          |           |           |
| Chronical renal failure [53]                                    | 1        | 3         | 4         |
| Dentigerous cyst [54]   | 1        | 14        | 1         |
| Eosinophilic granuloma [55]                                     | 1        | 4         | 1         |
| Mixt mandibular lesions [56]                                    | 1        | 7         | 6         |
| <b>Total bone diseases</b>                                      | <b>4</b> | <b>28</b> | <b>12</b> |
| <b>Cervical spine</b>   |          |           |           |
| General [57]  | 1        | 4         | 1         |
| Ponticulus posticus [58, 59]                                    | 2        | 31        | 4         |
| <b>Total cervical spine</b>                                     | <b>3</b> | <b>35</b> | <b>5</b>  |
| <b>Temporomandibular joint</b>                                  |          |           |           |
| Idiopathic juvenile arthritis [60]                              | 1        | 3         | 69        |
| Idiopathic juvenile arthritis [61] (same authors group as [60]) | 1        | 2         | 9         |
| General [62]  | 1        | 3         | 1         |
| <b>Total TMJ</b>  | <b>3</b> | <b>8</b>  | <b>79</b> |
| <b>Mandible</b>   |          |           |           |
| Mental nerve loop [63]  | 1        | 3         | 10        |
| Bifid mandibular canals   | 1        | 4         | 2         |

|   |           |            |            |
|---|-----------|------------|------------|
| and retromolar foramina [64]                      |           |            |            |
| Stafné bone cavities [65]                         | 1         | 12         | 3          |
| <b>Total mandible</b>                             | <b>3</b>  | <b>19</b>  | <b>15</b>  |
| <b>Orthodontics</b>                               |           |            |            |
| General [66]                                      | 1         | 5          | 24         |
| Cleidocranial dysplasia [67]                      | 1         | 6          | 41         |
| <b>Total orthodontics</b>                         | <b>2</b>  | <b>11</b>  | <b>65</b>  |
| <b>Dentomaxillofacial radiology: general [68]</b> | 1         | 16         | 13         |
| <b>Periodontics (bone loss) [69]</b>              | 1         | 3          | 3          |
| <b>Total</b>                                      | <b>50</b> | <b>306</b> | <b>432</b> |

143  
144  
145  
146  
147  
148  
149  
150  
151  
152

28 journals participated in the effort to free sharing figures on anatomical variations from CBCT (Table 2). All continents were involved. The countries the most involved were USA (5 journal titles), UK (3 journal titles), Brazil (3 journal titles), India (3 journal titles), and Iran (3 journal titles). There were from 1 to 7 articles (Dentomaxillofacial radiology) published in these 28 journals. There were 11 journals (20 articles) published by 11 major professional publishers.

**Table 2. Journals sharing figures of anatomical variations from CBCT.**

|   | Open access license | Author publication charges (APC) | Fees at submission | Fees for review | Fees for technical review | Printing fees  |
|---|---------------------|----------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|---------------------------|----------------|
| <b>South America</b>  |                     |                                  |                    |                 |                           |                |
| Brazil Dent J [20, 37, 41] (Brazil)                             | YES                 | No information                   | NO                 | NO              | 200-300 USD               | No information |
| Braz Oral Res [21, 43] (Brazil)                                 | YES, CC-BY          | No information                   | NO                 | NO              | No information            | No information |
| J Appl Oral Sci [34] (Brazil)                                   | YES, CC-BY          | NO                               | NO                 | NO              | NO                        | NO             |
| <b>North America</b>  |                     |                                  |                    |                 |                           |                |
| Head Face Med [26, 45, 67] (BMC Editor) (Springer Nature) (USA) | YES, CC-BY          | 2490 USD plus VAT                | NO                 | NO              | NO                        | No information |
| Med Sci Monit [28] (USA)  | YES, CC-BY-NC-ND    | 2500 USD                         | NO                 | NO              | NO                        | No information |
| PLoS One [36, 52] (Plos one, USA)                               | YES, CC-BY          | 1595 USD                         | NO                 | NO              | NO                        | NO             |
| Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol                                  | NO, 20 USD/article  | 2250 USD                         | NO                 | NO              | NO                        | NO             |

|   |   |                                 |                         |                                       |                |                                    |
|---|---|---------------------------------|-------------------------|---------------------------------------|----------------|------------------------------------|
| Oral Radiol [59] (USA) (Mosby)                                |   |                                 |                         |                                       |                |                                    |
| Insights Imaging [68] Springer Open (USA)                     | YES, CC-BY  | 1822 USD plus VAT               | NO                      | NO                                    | NO             | NO                                 |
| <b>Europe</b>   |   |                                 |                         |                                       |                |                                    |
| Eur J Dent [35] (Thieme, Germany)                             | YES, CC-BY-NC-ND                                  | 450 USD                         | No information          | No information                        | No information | No information                     |
| Eur J Orthod [57] (Oxford University Press) (UK)              | NO, 45 USD/article<br>771 USD/issue               | 4124 USD                        | NO                      | NO                                    | NO             | Color charges                      |
| Dentomaxillofac Radiol [39, 50, 51, 53, 62, 64, 66] (BIR, UK) | YES, CC-BY or CC-BY-NC (if author payed APC)      | 2702.2 USD                      | NO                      | NO                                    | NO             | NO                                 |
| BMJ Case Rep [56] (UK) (BMJ Publishing Group)                 | NO, 37.50£/article                                | 289.5 USD to become fellow/year | 321 USD for open access | No information                        | No information | No information                     |
| Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal [65, 69] (Spain)                | YES, Articles free on PubMed                      | No information                  | No information          | No information                        | No information | No information                     |
| Germes [49] (Romania)   | YES, free articles on website                     | NO                              | NO                      | NO                                    | NO             | NO                                 |
| Stomatologija (Baltic countries) [54, 60, 61]                 | YES, free articles on website                     | No information                  | No information          | No information                        | No information | No information                     |
| <b>Asia</b>   |   |                                 |                         |                                       |                |                                    |
| Med Princ Pract [47] (Kuwait) (Karger Publisher, CH)          | YES, CC-BY-NC-ND                                  | NO                              | NO                      | NO                                    | NO             | Color figures: 966.17 USD per page |
| Chin J Dent Res [38] (China)                                  | NO information, pdf available for free on webpage | NO information                  | NO information          | NO information                        | NO information | NO information                     |
| Iran Endod J (Iran) [32, 33, 40]                              | YES, CC-BY-NC-SA                                  | 450 USD                         | No information          | 250 USD: fast-track review in 4 weeks | No information | No information                     |
| J Dent (Shiraz) [48] (Iran)                                   | NO information                                    | 135 USD                         | 15 USD                  | No information                        | No information | No information                     |
| Acta Med Iran [55] (Iran)                                     | YES, CC-BY-NC                                     | White page on publication fees  | No information          | No information                        | No information | No information                     |



|  |                                 |                |                |                |                |                |
|--|---------------------------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| J Conserv Dent [22, 27] (India)                      | NO (20 USD/article, pdf to buy) | No information | NO             | YES, 60 USD    | No information | No information |
| Indian J Dent Res [30, 63] (India)                   | YES, CC-BY-NC-SA                | NO             | No information | No information | No information | 150 USD        |
| Indian J Dent [31] (India)                           | YES, CC-BY-NC-SA                | 111.8 USD      | 7 USD          | No information | No information | No information |
| Restor Dent Endod [23, 29] (South Korea)             | YES, CC-BY-NC                   | NO             | NO             | No information | No information | No information |
| Imaging Sci Dent [25, 46] (South Korea)              | YES, CC-BY-NC                   | NO             | NO             | No information | No information | No information |
| <b>Australia</b>                                     |                                 |                |                |                |                |                |
| Aust Dent J [44] (Australia) (Wiley, USA)            | NO (42 USD/article)             | 2500 USD       | No information | No information | No information | No information |
| <b>Africa</b>  |                                 |                |                |                |                |                |
| Scientifica (Cairo) [24] (Hindawi publisher) (Egypt) | YES, if APC payed               | 950 USD        | NO             | NO             | NO             | NO             |
| Niger J Clin Pract [42, 58] (Nigeria)                | NO                              | 150 USD        | 80 USD         | No information | No information | No information |

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

167

168

169

170

171

172

173

174

175

Open access was granted in 20 journals (71.4%). There was no open access available in 6 journals, and no information was given for 2 journals. 15 journals provided with Creative Commons (CC) license available for free or after paying author publications charges (APC). There were 6 journals proposing CC-BY license, 4 journals proposing CC-BY-NC license, 3 journals proposing CC-BY-NC-SA license, and 3 journals proposing CC-BY-NC-ND license. One journal applied two types of licenses (Dentomaxillofac Radiol).

15 journals applied APC varying from 111.8 USD (Indian J Dent) to 4124 USD (Eur J Orthod). Six journals do not applied APC, and there was no information for 7 journals. Fees at submission were asked by 4 journals, not asked by 16 journals, and there was no information for 8 journals. Fees at submission varied from 7 USD (Indian J Dent) to 321 USD (BMJ Cas Rep). Fees for review were asked by 2 journals, not asked by 13 journals, and there was no information for 13 journals. Fees for review varied from 60 USD (J Conserv Dent) to 250 USD (Iran Endod J). Fees for technical review were asked in 1 journal (Brazil Dent J, 300 USD), not asked in 11 journals, and there was no information for 16 journals. Printing fees were asked in 3 journals, not asked in 7 journals, and no information was provided for 18 journals. Printing fees varied from 150 USD (Indian J Dent Res) to 966.17 USD (Med Princ Pract).

Only 2 journals (J Appl Oral Sci and Germs) were completely free for authors and shared for free figures of anatomical variations from CBCT. There was no

176 information for 6 journals to conclude on their free publishing policy, and in 20  
 177 journals authors needed to pay for sharing their figures.  
 178 Finally, there were 15 annotated and 3 not annotated figures published for free and  
 179 shared for free when comparing Table 1 and Table 2 [34, 49].

## 180 Discussion

181 Validated information on human anatomical variations from CBCT exists behind  
 182 payed walls established by dental journals and books [70] publishers. The 50 articles  
 183 selected in this study represent only 2.24% of articles on human anatomical  
 184 variations from CBCT that are freely available for readers on PubMed. There exists  
 185 a very limited range of available subjects of interest accessible for free. Especially  
 186 there exist no free articles on syndromes except cleidocranial dysplasia [67] (around  
 187 5000 syndromes exist in oral and maxillofacial area), and cleft palate patients, on  
 188 oncology related studies (i.e., osteonecrosis), on bone diseases in oral and maxillo-  
 189 facial area (only 4 diseases presented [40, 53, 55, 56]), on teeth anomalies not relat-  
 190 ed to endodontics (only 1 study on dens invaginatus [41]), on paranasal sinuses (on-  
 191 ly 2 studies on maxillary sinus [45, 47]).

192 There exists no free study on temporal bone, or on soft tissue calcifications on  
 193 CBCT. Anatomical variations of teeth such as roots variations, and position  
 194 variations may explain troubles of teeth eruption in orthodontics. No one article is  
 195 freely available on this topic. Variations of mandibular nerve canals do not exist in  
 196 free version. There exist no free studies on cervical spine (except 2 studies on  
 197 ponticulus posticus [58, 59]). Majority of free articles are single studies on one  
 198 specific topic. However, as anatomical variations may vary between populations,  
 199 single studies cannot give any answer to a general practitioner from a given  
 200 population.

201 Annotated figure (i.e., with arrows) is a privileged way to explain anatomical  
 202 variation more precisely than only with a brief description of a figure. Annotated  
 203 figures are therefore addressed to more general public or to general practitioners that  
 204 represent the most important part of clinicians. Not annotated figures are more  
 205 addressed to a specialized clinical public or to other researchers. In current situation  
 206 freely accessible figures are more addressed to a specialized target group and less to  
 207 general practitioners as there exist 287/704 (41%) annotated and 417/704 (59%) not  
 208 annotated figures freely accessible for readers.

209 Articles are dispersed over 28 different journals which means that there is currently  
 210 no leading journal on anatomical variations from CBCT in dental literature.  
 211 Dentomaxillofacial Radiology, which is the leading journal in the domain of  
 212 dentomaxillofacial radiology, contains 7 such articles. However, this journal  
 213 proposes open access only after paying with APC of 2702 USD, and thus limits any  
 214 attempt to publish free figures for readers. The majority of journals (71.4%) applied  
 215 diverse types of fees implying that very few authors were able to choose the open  
 216 access and were able to share their figures with the public. Therefore, open access  
 217 does not mean free publishing for authors, but only free access for readers. Only two

218 journals were completely free for authors and for readers, and were not belonging to  
219 major medical publishing groups.

220 The 15 annotated and 3 not annotated figures published for free and shared for free  
221 represent an exception in dental literature and are far away from any future world of  
222 Open or Free science.

223 Currently, clinicians using available scientific journals have no chance to found  
224 within minutes, during their dental practice, a freely available figure corresponding  
225 to any type of anatomical variation that may arise in dental and maxillofacial CBCT  
226 and that could help them immediately in their diagnosis and/or treatment plan.  
227

228 Digital revolution has offer changes and opportunities; scholarly publishing could  
229 be done on- line that reduces the printing costs dramatically. Universities can play a  
230 vital role in this process by sharing the knowledge they are producing much more  
231 than before. The reach out to different communities and stakeholder groups could  
232 help make the science more relevant and connected with everyday life.

233 Traditional scholarly publishing system is based on work of academics. Researcher  
234 carries out the scientific work from the concept, to the design of the methodology  
235 and conducting the experiment - to the final drafting of the articles. Researchers are  
236 peer reviewing other papers, and researchers must format the whole article in a way  
237 that is ready for publication.

238 Publishers paid none of these tasks, and scientists must give up their copyrights in  
239 order to get their work published. In other words, somebody else is selling its work  
240 as a commercial product.

241 Open Science is a new approach that promotes sharing the knowledge and data as  
242 soon as possible, not waiting for the final article text, but try to share and interact  
243 with others from the moment that the concept has been born.

244 Open science is also a mean: "Open science strategies and policies are a means to  
245 support better quality science, increased collaboration, and engagement between  
246 research and society that can lead to higher social and economic impacts of public  
247 research." <https://www.innovationpolicyplatform.org/content/recent-findings-and-policy-messages-open-science>

248 The traditional impact factor – based system of publications has derailed the science,  
249 researchers need to publish original papers only, and simple case studies are often  
250 not welcomed by big editorial houses. Citizens and practitioners in the field, as  
251 dentists in our case - feel not connected with scientific publications. In order to build  
252 the interest and trust in science – research must become more collaborative, more  
253 engaging and may be simpler.

254 University could be socially engaged and embrace the new approach. Open Science  
255 gives them opportunity to share the knowledge, to bridge the gap and to reach out to  
256 the large populations. The interest in science is enormous. For example, use of data  
257 from PubMed Central, the online repository of the US National Institutes of Health  
258 <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pubmed/>, shows that 25% of the daily unique users  
259 are from universities, 17% from companies, 40% are individual citizens and the rest  
260 are from government or in other categories – (from UNESCO, Policy Guidelines for  
261 the Development and Promotion of Open Access, UNESCO Publishing, 2012.)  
262

263 The debate of future of scholarly publishing is going on for some time  
264 ([https://www.eosc-portal.eu/sites/default/files/KI0518070ENN.en\\_.pdf](https://www.eosc-portal.eu/sites/default/files/KI0518070ENN.en_.pdf) ).  
265 Researchers claim the science back. The concept of Open Science gives the  
266 opportunity to change the rules of the game. Universities should take this  
267 opportunity and engage with society. Universities could using its knowledge and  
268 infrastructure continue to do the work, they have been always doing, but this time  
269 keeping their copyrights.  
270

271  
272  
273  
274  
275  
276  
277  
278

- **Acknowledgements:** none
- **Funding sources statement:** This study does not received any funding
- **Competing interests:** Prof R. Olszewski is Editor-in-Chief of Nemesis. The other author have no conflict of interest.
- **Ethical approval:** There was no need for ethical committee approval for this study.
- **Informed consent:** There was no need for informed consent for this study.

279

#### Authors contribution:

| Author      | Contributor role   |
|-------------|--|
| Olszewski R | Conceptualization, Data curation, Investigation, Methodology, Resources, Validation, Writing original draft preparation, Supervision, Writing review and editing |
| Hebda A     | Conceptualization, Validation, Writing original draft preparation, Supervision, Writing review and editing   |

280

281

#### References

282  
283  
284  
285  
286  
287  
288  
289  
290  
291  
292  
293  
294  
295  
296

1. Kawai T, Sato I, Asaumi R, Yosue T. Cone-beam computed tomography and anatomical observations of normal variants in the mandible: variant dentists should recognize. *Oral Radiol* 2018;34:189-198.
2. Dief S, Veitz-Keenan A, Amintavakoli N, McGowan R. A systematic review on incidental findings in cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) scans. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2019;48:20180396.
3. Ahmed F, Brooks SL, Kapila SD. Efficacy of identifying maxillofacial lesions in cone-beam computed tomographs by orthodontists and orthodontic residents with third-party software. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2012;141:451-459.
4. Ganguly R, Ramesh A. Systematic interpretation of CBCT scans: why do it? *J Mass Dent Soc* 2014;62:68-70.

- 297 5. Monsarrat P, Galibourg A, Nasr K, Telmon N, Maret D. Incidental findings in  
298 dental radiology are concerning for family doctors. *Open Med (Wars)*. 2019;14:467-  
299 478.  
300
- 301 6. Ritter L, Lutz J, Neugebauer J, Scheer M, Dreiseidler T, Zinser MJ, Rothamel D,  
302 Mischkowski RA. Prevalence of pathologic findings in the maxillary sinus in  
303 cone-beam computerized tomography. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol*  
304 *Endod* 2011;111:634-640.  
305
- 306 7. Mutalik S, Rengasamy K, Tadinada A. Incidental findings based on anatomical  
307 location and clinical significance in CBCT scans of dental implant patients.  
308 *Quintessence Int* 2018;49:419-426.  
309
- 310 8. Barghan S, Tahmasbi Arashlow M, Nair MK. Incidental findings on cone beam  
311 computed tomography studies outside of the maxillofacial skeleton. *Int J Dent*  
312 2016;2016:9196503.  
313
- 314 9. Allareddy V, Vincent SD, Hellstein JW, Qian F, Smoker WR, Ruprecht A.  
315 Incidental findings on cone beam computed tomography images. *Int J Dent*  
316 2012;2012:871532.  
317
- 318 10. Pette GA, Norkin FJ, Ganeles J, Hardigan P, Lask E, Zfaz S, Parker W.  
319 Incidental findings from a retrospective study of 318 cone beam computed  
320 tomography consultation reports. *Int J Oral Maxillofac Implants* 2012;27:595-603.  
321
- 322 11. Price JB, Thaw KL, Tyndall DA, Ludlow JB, Padilla RJ. Incidental findings  
323 from cone beam computed tomography of the maxillofacial region: a descriptive  
324 retrospective study. *Clin Oral Implants Res* 2012;23:1261-1268.  
325
- 326 12. Alsufyani NA. Cone beam computed tomography incidental findings of the  
327 cervical spine and clivus: retrospective analysis and review of the literature. *Oral*  
328 *Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol* 2017;123:e197-e217.  
329
- 330 13. Edwards R, Alsufyani N, Heo G, Flores-Mir C. The frequency and nature of  
331 incidental findings in large-field cone beam computed tomography scans of an  
332 orthodontic sample. *Prog Orthod* 2014;15:37.  
333
- 334 14. Lopes IA, Tucunduva RM, Handem RH, Capellozza AL. Study of the frequency  
335 and location of incidental findings of the maxillofacial region in different fields of  
336 view in CBCT scans. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2017;46:20160215.  
337
- 338 15. Togan B, Gander T, Lanzer M, Martin R, Lübbers HT. Incidence and frequency  
339 of nondental incidental findings on cone-beam computed tomography. *J*  
340 *Craniomaxillofac Surg* 2016;44:1373-1380.  
341

- 342 16. Raghav M, Karjodkar FR, Sontakke S, Sansare K. Prevalence of incidental  
343 maxillary sinus pathologies in dental patients on cone-beam computed tomographic  
344 images. *Contemp Clin Dent* 2014;5:361-365.  
345
- 346 17. Shahidi S, Zamiri B, Momeni Danaei S, Salehi S, Hamedani S. Evaluation of  
347 anatomic variations in maxillary sinus with the aid of Cone Beam Computed  
348 Tomography (CBCT) in a population in south of Iran. *J Dent (Shiraz)* 2016;17:7-15.  
349
- 350 18. Çağlayan F, Tozoğlu U. Incidental findings in the maxillofacial region detected  
351 by cone beam CT. *Diagn Interv Radiol* 2012;18:159-163.  
352
- 353 19. Cha JY, Mah J, Sinclair P. Incidental findings in the maxillofacial area with 3-  
354 dimensional cone-beam imaging. *Am J Orthod Dentofacial Orthop* 2007;132:7-14.  
355
- 356 20. Saati S, Shokri A, Foroozandeh M, Poorolajal J, Mosleh N. Root morphology  
357 and number of canals in mandibular central and lateral incisors using Cone Beam  
358 Computed Tomography. *Braz Dent J* 2018;29:239-244.  
359
- 360 21. Kayaoglu G, Peker I, Gumusok M, Sarikir C, Kayadugun A, Ucok O. Root and  
361 canal symmetry in the mandibular anterior teeth of patients attending a dental clinic:  
362 CBCT study. *Braz Oral Res* 2015;29: S1806-83242015000100283.  
363
- 364 22. Izaz S, Dasari B, Bolla N, Neelakantan P. Unusual root canal morphology of  
365 mandibular first premolar and its management: A rare case report. *J Conserv Dent*  
366 2018;21:344-347.  
367
- 368 23. Bertrand T, Kim SG. Endodontic treatment of a C-shaped mandibular second  
369 premolar with four root canals and three apical foramina: a case report. *Restor Dent*  
370 *Endod* 2016;41:68-73.  
371
- 372 24. Hajihassani N, Roohi N, Madadi K, Bakhshi M, Tofangchiha M. Evaluation of  
373 root canal morphology of mandibular first and second premolars using Cone Beam  
374 Computed Tomography in a defined group of dental patients in Iran. *Scientifica*  
375 (Cairo) 2017;2017:1504341.  
376
- 377 25. Torres A, Jacobs R, Lambrechts P, Brizuela C, Cabrera C, Concha G,  
378 Pedemonte ME. Characterization of mandibular molar root and canal morphology  
379 using cone beam computed tomography and its variability in Belgian and Chilean  
380 population samples. *Imaging Sci Dent* 2015;45:95-101.  
381
- 382 26. Tian J, Liang G, Qi W, Jiang H. Odontogenic cutaneous sinus tract associated  
383 with a mandibular second molar having a rare distolingual root: a case report. *Head*  
384 *Face Med* 2015;11:13.  
385
- 386 27. Rajasekhara S, Sharath Chandra S, Parthasarathy LB. Cone beam computed

- 387 tomography evaluation and endodontic management of permanent mandibular  
388 second molar with four roots: A rare case report and literature review. *J Conserv*  
389 *Dent* 2014;17:385-388.
- 390
- 391 28. Aksoy U, Orhan K. Risk factor in endodontic treatment: topographic evaluation  
392 of mandibular posterior teeth and lingual cortical plate using Cone Beam Computed  
393 Tomography (CT). *Med Sci Monit* 2018;24:7508-7516.
- 394
- 395 29. Marcano-Caldera M, Mejia-Cardona JL, Blanco-Urbe MDP, Chaverra-Mesa  
396 EC, Rodríguez-Lezama D, Parra-Sánchez JH. Fused roots of maxillary molars:  
397 characterization and prevalence in a Latin American sub-population: a cone beam  
398 computed tomography study. *Restor Dent Endod* 2019;44:e16.
- 399
- 400 30. Munavalli A, Kambale S, Bandekar S, Ajgaonkar N. Maxillary first molar with  
401 seven root canals diagnosed with cone-beam computed tomography scanning. *Indian*  
402 *J Dent Res* 2015;26:82-85.
- 403
- 404 31. Raghavendra SS, Hindlekar AN, Desai NN, Vyavahare NK, Napte BD.  
405 Endodontic management of maxillary first molar with seven root canals diagnosed  
406 using Cone Beam Computed Tomography scanning. *Indian J Dent* 2014;5:152-156.
- 407
- 408 32. Kumar R. Report of a rare case: a maxillary first molar with seven canals  
409 confirmed with cone-beam computed tomography. *Iran Endod J* 2014;9:153-157.
- 410
- 411 33. Parirokh M, Razifar M, Manochehrifard H, V Abbott P, Hatami N, Kashi N,  
412 Farhadi A. Treatment of a maxillary second molar with one buccal and two palatal  
413 roots confirmed with Cone-Beam Computed Tomography. *Iran Endod J*  
414 2017;12:371-375.
- 415
- 416 34. Han X, Yang H, Li G, Yang L, Tian C, Wang Y. A study of the distobuccal root  
417 canal orifice of the maxillary second molars in Chinese individuals evaluated by  
418 cone-beam computed tomography. *J Appl Oral Sci* 2012;20:563-567.
- 419
- 420 35. Bulut DG, Kose E, Ozcan G, Sekerci AE, Canger EM, Sisman Y. Evaluation of  
421 root morphology and root canal configuration of premolars in the Turkish  
422 individuals using cone beam computed tomography. *Eur J Dent* 2015;9:551-557.
- 423
- 424 36. Monsarrat P, Arcaute B, Peters OA, Maury E, Telmon N, Georgelin-Gurgel M,  
425 Maret D. Interrelationships in the variability of root canal anatomy among the  
426 permanent teeth: A full-mouth approach by Cone-Beam CT. *PLoS One*  
427 2016;11:e0165329.
- 428
- 429 37. Eskandarloo A, Asl AM, Jalalzadeh M, Tayari M, Hosseinipanah M, Fardmal J,  
430 Shokri A. Effect of time lapse on the diagnostic accuracy of Cone Beam Computed  
431 Tomography for detection of vertical root fractures. *Braz Dent J* 2016;27:16-21.



- 432  
433 38. Wang P, Yan XB, Liu DG, Zhang WL, Zhang ZY, Ma XC. Evaluation of dental  
434 root fracture using cone-beam computed tomography. *Chin J Dent Res* 2010;13:31-  
435 35.  
436
- 437 39. Kajan ZD, Taromsari M. Value of cone beam CT in detection of dental root  
438 fractures. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2012;41:3-10.  
439
- 440 40. Haghanifar S, Moudi E, Madani Z, Farahbod F, Bijani A. Evaluation of the  
441 prevalence of complete isthmii in permanent teeth using Cone-Beam Computed  
442 Tomography. *Iran Endod J* 2017;12:426-431.  
443
- 444 41. Vier-Pelisser FV, Morgental RD, Fritscher G, Ghisi AC, Borba MG, Scarparo  
445 RK. Management of type III dens invaginatus in a mandibular premolar: a case  
446 report. *Braz Dent J* 2014;25:73-78.  
447
- 448 42. Dagistan S, Miloğlu Ö, Altun O, Umar EK. Retrospective morphometric  
449 analysis of the infraorbital foramen with cone beam computed tomography. *Niger J*  
450 *Clin Pract* 2017;20:1053-1064.  
451
- 452 43. Manhães Júnior LR, Villaça-Carvalho MF, Moraes ME, Lopes SL, Silva MB,  
453 Junqueira JL. Location and classification of canalis sinuosus for cone beam  
454 computed tomography: avoiding misdiagnosis. *Braz Oral Res* 2016;30:e49.  
455
- 456 44. Monsour P, Huang T. Morphology of the greater palatine grooves of the hard  
457 palate: a cone beam computed tomography study. *Aust Dent J* 2016;61:329-332.  
458
- 459 45. Shiki K, Tanaka T, Kito S, Wakasugi-Sato N, Matsumoto-Takeda S, Oda M,  
460 Nishimura S, Morimoto Y. The significance of cone beam computed tomography  
461 for the visualization of anatomical variations and lesions in the maxillary sinus for  
462 patients hoping to have dental implant-supported maxillary restorations in a private  
463 dental office in Japan. *Head Face Med* 2014;10:20.  
464
- 465 46. Thakur AR, Burde K, Guttal K, Naikmasur VG. Anatomy and morphology of  
466 the nasopalatine canal using cone-beam computed tomography. *Imaging Sci Dent*  
467 2013;43:273-281.  
468
- 469 47. Orhan K, Kusakci Seker B, Aksoy S, Bayindir H, Berberoğlu A, Seker E. Cone  
470 beam CT evaluation of maxillary sinus septa prevalence, height, location and  
471 morphology in children and an adult population. *Med Princ Pract* 2013;22:47-53.  
472
- 473 48. Rahmati A, Ghafari R, AnjomShoa M. Normal variations of sphenoid sinus and  
474 the adjacent structures detected in Cone Beam Computed Tomography. *J Dent*  
475 (Shiraz) 2016;17:32-37.  
476

- 477 49. Andrei F, Motoc AG, Jianu AM, Rusu MC, Loreto C. The pneumatization  
478 patterns of the roof of the parapharyngeal space in CBCT. *Germs* 2012;2:142-147.  
479
- 480 50. Tozoglu U, Caglayan F, Harorli A. Foramen tympanicum or foramen of  
481 Huschke: anatomical cone beam CT study. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2012;41:294-  
482 297.  
483
- 484 51. Miloglu O, Yilmaz AB, Yildirim E, Akgul HM. Pneumatization of the articular  
485 eminence on cone beam computed tomography: prevalence, characteristics and a  
486 review of the literature. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2011;40:110-114.  
487
- 488 52. Alhazmi A, Vargas E, Palomo JM, Hans M, Latimer B, Simpson S. Timing and  
489 rate of spheno-occipital synchondrosis closure and its relationship to puberty. *PLoS*  
490 *One* 2017;12:e0183305. Erratum in: *PLoS One* 2018;13:e0191703.  
491
- 492 53. Çağlayan F, Dağistan S, Keleş M. The osseous and dental changes of patients  
493 with chronic renal failure by CBCT. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2015;44:20140398.  
494
- 495 54. Gendviliene I, Legrand P, Nicolielo LFP, Sinha D, Spaey Y, Politis C, Jacobs R.  
496 Conservative management of large mandibular dentigerous cysts with a novel  
497 approach for follow up: Two case reports. *Stomatologija* 2017;19:24-32.  
498
- 499 55. Dalili H, Dalili Kajan Z. Eosinophilic granuloma of the skull base: patient with  
500 unique clinical moreover, radiographic presentation. *Acta Med Iran* 2015;53:69-73.  
501
- 502 56. Krishnan U, Al Maslamani M, Moule AJ. Cone beam CT as an aid to diagnosing  
503 mixed radiopaque radiolucent lesions in the mandibular incisor region. *BMJ Case*  
504 *Rep* 2015;2015:bcr2014207617.  
505
- 506 57. Bebnowski D, Hänggi MP, Markic G, Roos M, Peltomäki T. Cervical vertebrae  
507 anomalies in subjects with Class II malocclusion assessed by lateral cephalogram  
508 and cone beam computed tomography. *Eur J Orthod* 2012;34:226-231.  
509
- 510 58. Buyuk SK, Sekerci AE, Benkli YA, Ekizer A. A survey of ponticulus posticus:  
511 Radiological analysis of atlas in an orthodontic population based on cone-beam  
512 computed tomography. *Niger J Clin Pract* 2017;20:106-110.  
513
- 514 59. Bayrakdar IS, Miloglu O, Altun O, Gumusoy I, Durna D, Yilmaz AB. Cone  
515 beam computed tomography imaging of ponticulus posticus: prevalence,  
516 characteristics, and a review of the literature. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral*  
517 *Radiol* 2014;118:e210-219.  
518
- 519 60. Urtane I, Jankovska I, Al-Shwaikh H, Krisjane Z. Correlation of  
520 temporomandibular joint clinical signs with cone beam computed tomography

- 521 radiologic features in juvenile idiopathic arthritis patients. *Stomatologija*  
522 2018;20:82-89.  
523
- 524 61. Al-Shwaikh H, Urtane I, Pirtiniemi P, Pesonen P, Krisjane Z, Jankovska I,  
525 Davidsons Z, Stanevica V. Radiologic features of temporomandibular joint osseous  
526 structures in children with juvenile idiopathic arthritis. Cone beam computed  
527 tomography study. *Stomatologija* 2016;18:51-60.  
528
- 529 62. Alkhader M, Kuribayashi A, Ohbayashi N, Nakamura S, Kurabayashi T.  
530 Usefulness of cone beam computed tomography in temporomandibular joints with  
531 soft tissue pathology. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2010;39:343-348.  
532
- 533 63. Rodricks D, Phulambrikar T, Singh SK, Gupta A. Evaluation of incidence of  
534 mental nerve loop in Central India population using cone beam computed  
535 tomography. *Indian J Dent Res* 2018;29:627-633.  
536
- 537 64. Muinelo-Lorenzo J, Suárez-Quintanilla JA, Fernández-Alonso A, Marsillas-  
538 Rascado S, Suárez-Cunqueiro MM. Descriptive study of the bifid mandibular canals  
539 and retromolar foramina: cone beam CT vs panoramic radiography.  
540 *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2014;43:20140090.  
541
- 542 65. Adisen MZ, Yilmaz S, Misirlioglu M, Atil F. Evaluation of volumetric  
543 measurements on CBCT images using stafne bone cavities as an example. *Med Oral*  
544 *Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2015;20:e580-586.  
545
- 546 66. Kapila SD, Nervina JM. CBCT in orthodontics: assessment of treatment  
547 outcomes and indications for its use. *Dentomaxillofac Radiol* 2015;44:20140282.  
548
- 549 67. Dalessandri D, Laffranchi L, Tonni I, Zotti F, Piacino MG, Paganelli C, Bracco  
550 P. Advantages of cone beam computed tomography (CBCT) in the orthodontic  
551 treatment planning of cleidocranial dysplasia patients: a case report. *Head Face Med*  
552 2011;7:6.  
553
- 554 68. Suomalainen A, Pakbaznejad Esmaeili E, Robinson S. Dentomaxillofacial  
555 imaging with panoramic views and cone beam CT. *Insights Imaging* 2015;6:1-16.  
556
- 557 69. Goller-Bulut D, Sekerci AE, Köse E, Sisman Y. Cone beam computed  
558 tomographic analysis of maxillary premolars and molars to detect the relationship  
559 between periapical and marginal bone loss and mucosal thickness of maxillary sinus.  
560 *Med Oral Patol Oral Cir Bucal* 2015;20:e572-579.  
561
- 562 70. Scarfe WC, Angelopoulos Ch (Eds). *Maxillofacial Cone Beam Computed*  
563 *Tomography. Principles, techniques and clinical applications.* Springer  
564 International Publishing, NY, USA, 2018, 1242 pp  
565